

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/633,336	08/04/2000	Hiroshi Ueda	Q60276	2028
5	7590 02/26/2003			
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			MAKI, STEVEN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ĭ			AS
		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
Advisory Acti n		09/633,336	UEDA ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Steven D. Maki	1733
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondenc address
There inal r condi	REPLY FILED 03 February 2003 FAILS TO PLAC fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ( tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment o 1) a timelv filed amer	f this application. A proper reply to a normal distribution in
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a)	or b)]
a) [			
ave be 7 CFF b) abo	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Itensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extent (1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nan SIX MONTHS from the FILED WITHIN TWO MON ate on which the petition und asion and the corresponding d statutory period for reply o	mailing date of the final rejection.  NTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  ler 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under riginally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	
(а	) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and	or search (see NOTE below);
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(C	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for app	peal by materially reducing or simplifying th
(d	) 🗌 they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding	number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3.□	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if subn	nitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: for		
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directe	ed SOLELY to issues which were newly
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	· / /—	•
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:	
	Claim(s) allowed:		
	Claim(s) objected to:		
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17 and 19</u> .		
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	sa)□ approved or t	o) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Pa	per No(s)
0.□	Other:		STEVEN D. MAKI 2-25-0 PRIMARY EXAMINER - GROUP 1300 Av 1733

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/633,336

Continuation of 2. NOTE: new issues: In claim 1, adding "that is different from the shape of said lug groove ribs" and adding "said triangular shape preventing interference and pr ssing of the lug groove ribs with and against the tread surface of the green tire, while ensuring smooth insertion of the lug groove ribs into the carved grooves, respectively, when the green tire is being introduced into the mold". In claim 17, adding "the carved groove has a shape that is different from the shape of the lug groove rib"..